DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE NORTHAMPTON COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA

JOSHUA KREIDLER, Requester,

v.

No.: 6 - ORA - 2022

2012 AUG 31 PM 1: 22

COLONIAL REGIONAL POLICE DEPARTMENT,
Respondent.

FINAL DETERMINATION

AND NOW, the Northampton County District Attorney's Office respectfully submits its Final Determination as to Joshua Kreidler's ("Requester") Right to Know Law ("RTKL") request.

INTRODUCTION

On July 27, 2022, Requester submitted a request, pursuant to the RTKL, to the Colonial Regional Police Department ("Department") seeking a copy of the police report related to an incident involving Requester. *See* Attachment "A." On the same day, Respondent denied the request, because the requested report related to a criminal investigation or a non-criminal investigation. *See* Attachment "B."

On July 28, 2022, Requester appealed the Department's response to the Pennsylvania Office of Open Records ("OOR"). *See* Attachment "C." The OOR issued its Final Determination on August 2, 2022, transferring this appeal to the Northampton County District Attorney's Office Appeals Officer, because the request sought documents related to a criminal investigation. *See* Attachment "D" (citing 65 P.S. § 67.708(b)(16)).

DISCUSSION

The records Requester seeks relates to a criminal investigation, and thus are not producible public records under the RTKL. The Northampton County District Attorney's Office Appeals Officer retains authority to determine whether a request under the RTKL seeks records related to a criminal investigation. *See* 64 P.S. § 67.503(d)(2). A public record is "[any] record, including a financial record, of a Commonwealth or local agency that: (1) is not exempt under 708; (2) is not exempt from being disclosed under any other Federal or State laws or regulation or judicial order or decree; or (3) is not protected by a privilege." 65 P.S. § 67.305(a). The burden is on the responding agency to show by a preponderance of the evidence that the record is exempt from public disclosure. *Com. v. Williams*, 732 A.2d 1167, 1187 (Pa. 1999).

Generally, records "in the possession of a Commonwealth agency shall be presumed to be a public record unless the record is exempt under Section 708 ..." See 65 P.S. § 67.305(a). Under section 708(b)(16), "record[s] of an agency relating to or resulting in a criminal investigation" are exempt from disclosure." 65 P.S. § 708(b)(16). A criminal investigation "refers to an official inquiry into a possible crime." Pennsylvania State Police v. Grove, 161 A.3d 877, 892-93 (Pa. 2017). This material typically includes "statements compiled by district attorneys, forensic reports, and reports of police, including notes of interviews with victims, suspects and witnesses assembled for the specific purpose of investigation." Id. (citing Barros v. Martin, 92 A.3d 1243, 1250 (Pa. Commw. Ct. 2014)).

In this case, Requester sought a police report involving a traffic-related altercation for which he was charged with a summary offense. See Attachment A. Since Requester seeks an official report of police involving a criminal investigation, this Appeals Officer finds that the Department properly denied the request. See Pennsylvania State Police v. Office of Open Records,

5 A.3d 473, 479 (Pa. Commw. Ct. 2010) (holding that incident reports that contain investigative materials are exempt from public disclosure under the RTKL).

CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, Requester's request is hereby **DENIED**. This Final Determination is binding on the parties. Requester may file a petition for judicial review in the Northampton County Court of Common Pleas within 30 days. 42 Pa.C.S.A. § 67A06.

Respectfully Submitted:

By: Katharine R. Kurnas, Assistant District Attorney
Northampton County District Attorney's Office

669 Washington Street, Easton, PA 18042

Tel.: (610) 829-6630

Email: KKurnas@northamptoncounty.org

Appeals Officer

Date: August 31, 2022

Cc via email only:

Joshua Kreidler

Email: kreidler.josh@gmail.com

Requester

Attachment "A"



Standard Right-to-Know Law Request Form

Good communication is vital in the RTKL process. Complete this form thoroughly and retain a copy; it may be sequired if an appeal is filed. You have 15 business days to appeal after a request is denied or deemed denied.
SUBMITTED TO AGENCY NAME: Colonial Regional Police Department (Attn: Actor)
Date of Request: 7/27/2022 Submitted via: MEmail U.S. Mail Grax Gin Person
PERSON MAKING REQUEST:
Name: Joshva Kreidler Company (if applicable):
Mailing Address: 110 Brandywine Dr
Mailing Address: 110 Brandywine Dr City: Bethfehem State: PA Zip: 18020 Email: Kreidler. Josh & Gmail.com
Telephone: 610 +34 0000 Fax:
How do you prefer to be contacted if the agency has questions? ☑ Telephone ☑ Email ☐ U.S. Mail
RECORDS REQUESTED: Be clear and concise, Provide as much specific detail as possible, ideally including subject matter, time frame, and type of record or party names. RTKL requests should seek records, not ask questions. Requesters are not required to explain why the records are sought or the intended use of the records unless otherwise required by law.
Tam requesting to obtain an incident/police report relating
to an incident that occurred in Lower Nazareth IWT at
40 240 are ramp for Rt 336, on 5/28/2022 at approximately
1 - Party involved was myself (Joshua Kreidter) and it was
Laster related alternation Citation No R2796299-3
UOCKET TO WICH
DO YOU WANT COPIES? Yes, printed copies (default if none are checked) Yes, electronic copies preferred if available
☐ No, in-person inspection of records preferred (may request copies later)
Do you want <u>certified copies</u> ? \[\textstyle Yes (may be subject to additional costs) \textstyle No \\ \text{RTKL requests may require payment or prepayment of fees. See the <u>Official RTKL Fee Schedule for more details.}</u> Please notify me if fees associated with this request will be more than \textstyle \$100 (or) \textstyle \$\textstyle \textstyle \textstyle \textstyle \textstyle \text{Total content of the content o
ITEMS BELOW THIS LINE FOR AGENCY USE ONLY
Tracking: Date Received: Response Due (5 bus. days):
30-Day Ext.? Yes No (If Yes, Final Due Date:) Actual Response Date:
Request was: Granted Partially Granted & Denied Denied Date Approved:
☐ Appropriate third parties notified and given an opportunity to object to the release of requested records.
NOTE: In most cases, a completed RTKL request form is a public record. Nore information about the RTKL is available at https://www.openrecords.pa.gov Form updated Feb. 3, 2020

Attachment "B"



Colonial Regional Police Department

248 Brodhead Road, Suite 1
Bethlehem, Pennsylvania 18017

Phone (610) 861-4820 Fax (610) 861-4829 www.colonialregionalpd.org

July 27, 2022

Dear Mr. Kreidler:

Thank you for writing to the Colonial Regional Police Department with your request for information pursuant to the Pennsylvania Right-To-Know Law.

On July 27, 2022 you provided a written request for information regarding incidents 22-5723. Your request is denied under section 708 Exceptions for Public Records, sub section b 16 and 17. All records are either relating to a criminal investigation or a non-criminal investigation, therefore, they are exempt.

You have a right to appeal this denial of information in writing to Liz Wagenseller, Executive Director, Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, Office of Open Records, 333 Market Street, 16th Floor, Harrisburg PA 17101-2234.

An appeal for criminal records should be addressed to District Attorney Terence Houck, 669 Washington Street, Easton, PA 18042.

If you choose to file an appeal, you must do so within 15 business days of the mailing due date of the agency's response. See Section 1101. Please note that a copy of your original Right-to-Know request and this denial letter must be included when filing an appeal. The law also requires that you state the reasons why the record is a public record and address each of the reasons your request was denied. Visit the Office of Open Records website at openrecords.state.pa.us for further information on filing an appeal. Please be advised that this correspondence will serve to close this record with our office as permitted by law.

Respectfully

James DePalma
Chief of Police

Open Records Officer

Attachment "C"

From:

no-reply@openrecordspennsylvania.com

To:

kreidler.josh@gmail.com

Subject:

[External] PA Office of Open Records - Appeal Confirmation

Date:

Thursday, July 28, 2022 2:46:57 PM

Attachments:

oor logo email.png

ATTENTION: This email message is from an external sender. Do not open links or attachments from unknown senders. To report suspicious email, use the <u>Report Phishing button in Outlook.</u>



You have filed an appeal of an agency's response to a request for records under the Right-to-Know Law.

Name:

Joshua Kreidler

Company:

Address 1:

110 brandywine dr

Address 2:

City:

Bethlehem

State:

Pennsylvania

Zip:

18020

Phone:

610-739-6683

Email:

kreidler.josh@gmail.com

Email2:

kreidler.josh@gmail.com

Agency (list):

Colonial Regional Police Department

Agency

248 Broadhead RD

Address 1:

Agency

Address 2:

Agency City:

Bethlehem

Agency State:

Pennsylvania

Agency Zip:

18017

Agency Phone: 610-861-4820

Agency Email:

info@colonialregionalpd.org

Records at

Issue in this

Appeal:

I am trying to obtain records relating to an incident I was involved in

personally and have court date of 8/15/2022, where I will be representing myself. I am attempting to gather all available information for my case. I

believe the official police report regarding this incident would be pertinent to the building of my defense. Incident occurred on 5/28/2022 on RT 33 s off-bound ramp for exit 248 at approximately 1pm. Citation No R2796244-

3 Docket Number ITT-245-22

Request

web form

Submitted to **Agency Via:**

Request Date:

07/27/2022

Response

07/27/2022

Date:

Deemed

No

Denied:

Agency Open

James DePalma

Records Officer:

Attached a

Yes

copy of my request for

records:

Attached a

Yes

copy of all responses from the

Agency regarding my

request:

Attached any

No

letters or

notices
extending the
Agency's time
to respond to
my request:

Agree to

No

permit the

OOR

additional

time to issue a

final

determination:

Interested in

No

resolving this

issue through

OOR

mediation:

Attachments:

- Kreidler Right to Know Response.pdf
- Incident Requist Form (Completed).pdf

I requested the listed records from the Agency named above. By submitting this form, I am appealing the Agency's denial, partial denial, or deemed denial because the requested records are public records in the possession, custody or control of the Agency; the records do not qualify for any exemptions under § 708 of the RTKL, are not protected by a privilege, and are not exempt under any Federal or State law or regulation; and the request was sufficiently specific.

Attachment "D"



OFFICE OF OPEN RECORDS

FINAL DETERMINATION

IN THE MATTER OF :

JOSHUA KREIDLER, :

Requester

v. : Docket No.: AP 2022-1756

COLONIAL REGIONAL
POLICE DEPARTMENT,

Respondent

On July 27, 2022, Joshua Kreidler ("Requester") filed a request ("Request") with the Colonial Regional Police Department ("Department") pursuant to the Right-to-Know Law ("RTKL"), 65 P.S. §§ 67.101 et seq., seeking an incident report involving the Requester. The Department denied the Request on July 27, 2022, stating that the incident report is related to a criminal investigation. See 65 P.S. § 67.708(b)(16). On July 28, 2022, the Requester appealed to the Office of Open Records ("OOR").

The Department is a local law enforcement agency, and the Request, by its very wording, seeks an incident report concerning a criminal matter.³ The OOR does not have jurisdiction to hear appeals related to criminal investigative records held by local law enforcement agencies. *See*

¹ The Department also cited Section 708(b)(17), 65 P.S. § 67.708(b)(17), pertaining to noncriminal investigations.

² The Department's response informed the Requester that an appeal for criminal records should be filed with the Northampton County District Attorney's Appeals Officer, rather than the OOR.

³ The Request references "a traffic related altercation," a citation, and a docket number. The appeal also references the Requester's upcoming court date.

65 P.S. § 67.503(d)(2). Instead, appeals involving records alleged to be criminal investigative records held by a local law enforcement agency are to be heard by an appeals officer designated by the local district attorney. *See id.* Accordingly, the appeal is hereby transferred to the Appeals Officer for the District Attorney's Office to determine whether the incident report is subject to disclosure.⁴ A copy of this final order and the appeal filed by the Requester will be sent to the Appeals Officer for the Northampton County District Attorney's Office.

For the foregoing reasons, Requester's appeal is **transferred** to the Appeals Officer for the District Attorney's Office. This Final Determination is binding on all parties. Within thirty days of the mailing date of this Final Determination, either party may appeal to the Northampton County Court of Common Pleas. 65 P.S. § 67.1302(a). All parties must be served with notice of the appeal. The OOR also shall be served notice and have an opportunity to respond as per Section 1303 of the RTKL. However, as the quasi-judicial tribunal adjudicating this matter, the OOR is not a proper party to any appeal and should not be named as a party. This Final Determination shall be placed on the OOR website at: https://openrecords.pa.gov.

FINAL DETERMINATION ISSUED AND MAILED: August 2, 2022

/s/ Kyle Applegate

Appeals Officer

Kyle Applegate, Esq.

Sent to: Requester (via email), Open Records Officer (via email), Appeals Officer for the Northampton County District Attorney's Office (via email)

⁴ The Commonwealth Court has noted that the OOR has the authority to transfer an appeal to "where [a requester] should have initially appealed." See Phila. Dist. Attorney's Office v. Williams, 204 A.3d 1062, *4 n.5 (Pa. Commw. Ct. 2019) ("... [A]lthough the onus for appealing from an RTKL denial to the proper appeals officer is on the requester, the OOR did not violate the law or any procedure in redirecting the appeal in this case").

⁵ Padgett v. Pa. State Police, 73 A.3d 644, 648 n.5 (Pa. Commw. Ct. 2013).